THEO1000 Approaches to Christian Studies

基督教基本研究方法 (2023-2024, term1) Course Outline

Dr. Ben Siu-Pun HO

Email:bennyhsp83@gmail.com

Tuesday, 2:30 p.m.-5:15 p.m.

1. Course Overview:

Christianity is a religion with more than two-thousand-year history, and throughout this period various approaches are used to understand and to practice this long-standing religion. The purpose of this course is to introduce some basic methods to study such multi-perspective religion so that students can comprehend and critically evaluate the main features and the core values of Christianity.

2. Learning outcome:

After the course, students are expected to be equipped with

i) a basic understanding of the history and development of the religion.

ii) a critical understanding of the theology and content of the Christian faith.

iii) a critical reflection on how Christianity could be manifested and understood from different cultural and social perspectives.

| Торіс | Content | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|--|
| Bible as an ancient text, its | A brief introduction to the nature of the bible, | |
| study method, and some key | especially the New Testament, and how to study it | |
| texts that form the foundation | as a contemporary and critical reader. | |
| of Christianity | | |
| History of Christianity | A brief introduction to the history and | |
| | development of Christianity from a Jewish sect to | |
| | a world religion. | |
| Christian theological thought | Key Christian theological thoughts will be | |
| | introduced. | |
| The Cultural and Social | Understand the influence of the religion through | |
| Influence of Christianity | some cultural and social phenomena. | |
| | | |

3. List of Topics:

1

4. Assessment Scheme

i) Assessment Parts

| | sessment (% Share) | Main Criteria | Deadline |
|----|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| | Attendance (10%) | • Full attendance: 10% | |
| | | • One mark deducted for missing one | |
| | | lesson, two marks for two lessons, etc. | / |
| | | • One mark deducted if being late or | |
| | | leaving earlier for more than 30 minutes in | |
| | | each lesson. | |
| 2. | Group | • The assigned reading of each lesson is | |
| | Presentation or | about the content of the last lecture. | |
| | Group Response | • All students must read the assigned | |
| | and Class | reading before the class starts. | |
| | Discussion of | • Students are divided into groups with | |
| | Assigned Readings | each group of 4-5 students, and they are | |
| | (20%) | either responsible for delivering a short | |
| | | presentation of the assigned reading (no | |
| | | more than 15 minutes) or giving response | |
| | | to the presentation. | |
| | | • The presentation should have two | |
| | | components: a summary of the reading and | |
| | | two or three questions for class discussion. | |
| | | • All students should participate in the | |
| | | discussion after the two groups finish their | |
| | | parts. | |
| 3. | Group | • Each group presents a self-designed | |
| | Presentation of a | topic related to any one of the perspectives | |
| | Topic (30%) | come across in this course. (no more than | |
| | | 15 minutes with maximum ten-page ppt) | |
| | | • Students are encouraged to consult Dr. | |
| | | Ho's opinion. | |
| 4. | Individual Paper | • Students either extend their studies in | Proposal: |
| | on the Presentation | the group topical presentation or choose | 28/11 |
| | Topic/Other | another topic. Both should be related to any | (tentative) |
| | Topics. (40%) | one of the perspectives learned in the | Paper: |
| | | course. | <u>12/12</u> |
| | | • The paper should contain at least \underline{two} | (tentative) |
| | | references listed below. | |

| • Each student should write a proposal in | |
|-------------------------------------------------|--|
| an A4 paper in one page and in point form | |
| and meet Dr. Ho for consultation. The | |
| proposal and the comment of it are not | |
| counted in the final mark, but they would | |
| affect the grading of individual paper. | |
| • The meeting arrangement will be | |
| announced in the first lesson. | |
| • Each paper should contain <u>no more than</u> | |
| 3,000 words in English or 4,000 words in | |
| Chinese. | |

ii) Assessment policy: All works must be submitted through the Blackboard. Mark will be deducted unless approval is granted before the deadline. One mark for each day for the first five days (1,2,3,4,5). Two Marks will be deducted (7,9,11,13,15) after the fifth day till the tenth day. Deferred work will be accepted only with the teacher's approval.

iii) About AI Tools

Using AI tools to produce content of your work is prohibited. Al software is only allowed for polishing your work or increasing your working efficiency, e.g. grammar checking and literature reviewing.

iv) Topical Presentation and Individual Paper

a) Biblical Perspective:

Choose a text in the Scripture and interpret it in light of the relevant context. (The method of interpretation will be introduced in the lecture)

b) Historical Perspective:

Choose an important historical event (e.g. Constantine's turn to Christianity or World War II), and do a detailed investigation and reflection on its impact on the development of Christianity.

c) Doctrinal Perspective

Explain and reflect on a Christian theologian's view on a Christine doctrine (e.g. Augustine's thought of original sin)

d) Cultural and Social Perspective:

Choose a Christian organization (NGO or Social Enterprise) or a social or cultural

phenomenon, do a detailed investigation on it, and reflect on it with respect to relevant Christian values and beliefs.

*Free topic to be approved by Dr. Ho. Please consult Dr. Ho. before starting your work.

| Date | Main Lecture Topic (2 hours) | Group Discussion (2:30-3:30p.m.) | Remarks |
|-------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 5/9 | Course Introduction and Basic Concepts of Faith, Religion, and Religious Studies | | |
| 12/9 | Basic Features of Christianity | / | |
| 19/9 | Scripture—An Overview | / | Groups and the Assigning of Readings Finalize |
| 26/9 | Introduction to New Testament (Guest Speaker) | Essay 1 | |
| 3/10 | Church History 1 | Essay 2 | |
| 10/10 | Church History 2 | Essay 3 | |
| 17/10 | Doctrinal Approach 1 | Essay 4 | |
| 24/10 | Doctrinal Approach 2 | Essay 5 | |
| 31/10 | Doctrinal Approach 3 | Essay 6 | Deadline of Consultation of Group Topical Presentation |
| 7/11 | Cultural and Social Approach 1 | Essay 7 or 2 Group Topical Presentations | |
| 14/11 | Cultural and Social Approach 2 | 2 Group Topical Presentations | |
| 21/11 | Group Topical Presentation | 4-6 Group Topical Presentations | |
| 28/11 | Group Topical Presentation and Summary of the Course | 3 Group Topical Presentations | Deadline of Proposal of Individual Paper |

5. Learning Schedule

5 Approaches to Christian Studies

6. Rubric of Assessments

i) Group Presentation of and Respond to Essays (20%)

| , <u>1</u> | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Excellent | Good | Fair | Fail |
| | (17-20%) | (13-16%) | (9-12%) | (0-8%) |
| Criteria: | Fully | Fully | Fairly | Not |
| 1. Understanding | | | | |
| of the key ideas | | | | |
| 2. Critical thought | Well | Critical thought | Barely shown | No critical |
| | demonstrated | shown but not | a critical | thought shown |
| | | enough | thought | |

ii) Group Topical Presentation (30%)

| Topic: | 5% |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Clearly defined and Interesting | |
| Argument support: Coherence, substantial, relevant to the | 20% |
| question | |
| Presentation: Clarity, Creativity and Corporation | 5% |

iii) Individual Paper (40%)

| | Excellent | Good | Fair | Fail |
|---------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | (36-40%) | (27-35%) | (21-26%) | (below 20) |
| Criteria: | Strong arguments | Relevant | Some arguments | Only weak and |
| 1. Content: Provide | are well presented. | arguments are | provided but not | incomplete |
| relevant points and | | well provided. | substantial | argument |
| information to support | | May have | enough. | provided. |
| the argument (50%) | | weaknesses in | | |
| | | some points. | | |
| 2. Logically sound of | Well and clearly | Well and clearly | Overall a logical | Cannot provide a |
| argument and critical | argued. Critical | argued. | presentation | logical argument |
| thought reflected (25%) | thought | | provided but no | throughout the |
| | demonstrated. | | critical thought | paper. |
| | | | shown. | |
| 3. Coherence: the | Tightly connected | Coherently argued | Either the question | Simply incoherent |
| question is clear and the | and argued | overall with some | is not clear | because of unclear |
| flow of the paper is | throughout the | parts may not be | enough or the | question or bad |
| addressing the question | paper. | totally. connected | structure of the | structure of |
| (25%) | | with the flow. | answer is not | answer. |
| | | | totally connected. | |

7. Major References to the Course:

i) Biblical Perspective

Cox, Harvey. *How to Read the Bible*. New York: HarperCollins Publishers, 2015.(哈維·考克斯。《新世代來了,我們該如何讀聖經?》。香港:基督教文藝, 2017。

Theissen, Gerd. *Fortress Introduction to the New Testament*. Minneapolis, MN: Fortress Press, 2003. (戴歌德。《新約導論》。孫秀貞和曾景恆譯。香港:道聲出版社, 2012。)

ii) Historical Perspective

González, Justo L. *Church History: An Essential Guide*. Abingdon essential guides. Nashville: Abingdon Press, 1996. (岡薩雷。《教會歷史要點指南》。新北市:聖經資源中心, 2011)

白德培。《分久必合,合久必分:從耶穌時代到改革前夕的西方基督教批判史》。 香港:明風。2016年。

iii) Doctrinal Perspective

McGrath, Alister E. *Christian Theology: An Introduction*. Sixth Edition. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley-Blackwell, 2016.(麥葛福。《基督教神學手冊》。劉良淑、王瑞琦譯。台 北:校園, 1998。)

Olson, Roger E. *The Mosaic of Christian Belief: Twenty Centuries of Unity and Diversity*. Downers Grove, IL: InterVarsity Press, 2002. (奧爾森。《統一與多元的基督教信仰》。李金好譯。香港:基道,2006。)

iv) Social and Cultural Perspective

Schmidt, Alvin J. Under the Influence: How Christianity Transformed Civilization. Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan, 2001.(施密特。《基督教對文明的影響》。台北: 雅歌, 2006。

Stark, Rodney. *The Victory of Reason: How Christianity Led to Freedom, Capitalism, and Western Success*. New York: Random House Trade Paperbacks, 2006. (羅德 尼・斯塔克。《理性的勝利:自由、科學、資本主義,以及進步的理性神學》。 蔡至哲譯。新北市:八旗文化,2021。)

7 Approaches to Christian Studies

8. Recommended Books

Collins, John J. *Introduction to the Hebrew Bible*. Third edition. Minneapolis: Fortress Press, 2018. (約翰·柯林斯。《希伯來聖經導論》。伍美詩.譯。香港:道聲出版社,2013。)

Cox, Harvey. *When Jesus Came to Harvard: Making Moral Choices Today*. 1st Mariner books ed. Boston: Mariner Books, 2006.(哈維・考克斯。《耶穌在哈佛的 26 堂課:現代人的道德啟示錄》。林師祺譯。台北:啟示出版社,2006。)

Gillespie, Michael Allen. *The Theological Origins of Modernity*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 2008. (米歇爾·艾倫·吉萊斯板:《現代性的神學起源》。張卜 天譯。長沙:湖南科學技術出版社, 2008。)

Gunton, Colin E., ed. *The Cambridge Companion to Christian Doctrine*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1997. (根頓等。《劍橋基督教教義手冊》。根頓編。 石彩燕譯。香港:天道,2006。

Holland, Tom. *Dominion: How the Christian Revolution Remade the World*. New York: Basic Books, 2019. (湯姆·霍蘭。《宗教統治:基督宗教如何塑造世界,一部橫跨兩千五百年的人類史》。蔡台佳、陳正熙和陳愷析譯。台北:啟示出版社,2022。)

Kelly, John N. D. *Early Christian Doctrines*. Revised Edition. New York: HarperCollins Publishers, 1990. (凱利。《早期基督教教義》。二版。康來昌譯。 台北:中華福音神學院, 1988。)

Lewis, C. S. *Mere Christianity*. New York: HarperCollins Publishers, 2001.(魯益士。 《返璞歸眞》。余也魯譯。香港:海天書樓,1995。)

McGrath, Alister E. *Mere Theology: Christian Faith and the Discipleship of the Mind*. London: SPCK, 2010. (麥格夫。《純粹神學》。郭淑儀譯。香港:天道書樓有限公司, 2012。)

Riches, John Kenneth. *The Bible: A Very Short Introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2000.(里奇斯。《聖經》,梁工譯(香港:牛津 大學出版社,2000。)

Theissen, Gerd. The Bible and Contemporary Culture. Minneapolis: Fortress Press,

8 Approaches to Christian Studies

2007. (戴歌德。《聖經,一本怎樣的書?》。譚偉光譯。香港:基道,2013。)

Wilson, Jonathan R. A Primer for Christian Doctrine. Grand Rapids, MI: W.B. Eerdmans Pub. Co, 2005. (約拿單, 威爾遜。《基督教教義淺析》。李金好譯。香港:基道, 2011。)

Wright, N. T. Simply Christian: Why Christianity Makes Sense. New York: HarperOne, 2006. (賴特。《純・基督教》。魏詩韻譯。香港:天道書樓有限公司, 2008。)

吳國傑:《奠基立柱:初期教會縱橫談》。香港:基道,2006。 《溯源追本:基督教會古今巡覽》。香港:基道,2007。 《築樓蓋頂:中世紀教會縱橫談》。香港:基道,2011。 《拆壁重修:宗教改革縱橫談》。香港:基道,2018。

漢斯·昆。《基督教大思想家》,二版。香港:道風書社。2014。

橋爪大三郎和大澤真幸。《基督教如何創造西方世界》。陳嫻若譯。台北:如果 出版社,2014。

賴品超、高莘。《誰的宗教?何種改革?:十六世紀宗教改革的多元性與政治性》。香港:明風。2017年。

9. Academic Honesty and Plagiarism

Attention is drawn to University policy and regulations on honesty in academic work, and to the disciplinary guidelines and procedures applicable to breaches of such policy and regulations. Details may be found at

http://www.cuhk.edu.hk/policy/academichonesty/.With each assignment, students will be required to submit a signed declaration that they are aware of these policies, regulations, guidelines and procedures. In the case of group projects, all students of the same group should be asked to sign the declaration, each of whom is responsible should there be any plagiarized contents in the group project, irrespective of whether he/she has signed the declaration and whether he/she has contributed directly or indirectly to the plagiarized contents. For assignments in the form of a computergenerated document that is principally text-based and submitted via VeriGuide, the statement, in the form of a receipt, will be issued by the system upon students' uploading of the soft copy of the assignment. Assignments without the properly signed declaration will not be graded by teachers. Only the final version of the assignment should be submitted via VeriGuide. The submission of a piece of work, or a part of a piece of work, for more than one purpose (e.g. to satisfy the requirements in two different courses) without declaration to this effect shall be regarded as having committed undeclared multiple submission. It is common and acceptable to reuse a turn of phrase or a sentence or two from one's own work; but wholesale reuse is problematic.

10. Feedback for evaluation

Feedback and recommendation are welcome. Students are advised to either give feedback during lecture or through email. Response will be made promptly based on the need of students.